UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,097	08/18/2003	Warran B. Lineton	71024-023	3347
	7590 01/29/2008 VRIGHT PLLC	EXAMINER		
38525 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 2000 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-2970			BUTLER, PATRICK NEAL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELL	D 111LL3, WII 40304-2770	·	1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/643,097	LINETON, WARRAN B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patrick Butler	1791		

	· direct Editor	7,01	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ers on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the silvest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	• •	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ni be entered and an e	ехріанацон оі
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	or be entered in the necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See the enclosed response.	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	ı	
13. Other:			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that require further consideration and/or search and that do not place the application in better form for appeal are the new limitations of drawing the vacuum directly and doing so downstream from the compaction zone in line 8 of Claim 1 and lines 5 and 6 of Claim 8. The new matter does not place the application in better form for appeal is the new limitations of drawing the vacuum directly line 8 of Claim 1 and lines 5 and 6 of Claim 8.

Application/Control Number:

10/643,097 Art Unit: 1791

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues with respect to the 35 USC § 103(a) rejections. Applicant's arguments appear to be on the grounds that:

- 1) Although Windeler discloses drawing a vacuum on the vents, Windeler does not impart vacuum on the material. Specifically, the vacuum is not imparted on the material after the compacting step and past the heating zone.
- 2) The applied references fail to teach the newly claimed limitations of drawing the vacuum directly on the material during the sintering step and after the compacting step.

The Applicant's arguments are addressed as follows:

1) Although Applicant's Arguments with respect to the vacuum have been considered, the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record.

1 and 2) The Arguments pertain to the claims as amended: the new issues and new matter. The Examiner's response to the previously rejected claims may be found in the final rejection mailed 16 November 2007.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Butler whose telephone number is (571) 272-8517. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. 7:30 a.m.-5 p.m. and alternating Fridays.

Application/Control Number:

10/643,097 Art Unit: 1791 Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick Butler Assistant Examiner Art Unit 1791

> CHRISTINA JAPHNSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER